

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/881,911	06/15/2001	Jeff Taylor	003801.P044	8378	
75	7590 10/06/2003			EXAMINER	
Andre L. Marais BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			BASHORE, ALAIN L		
Seventh Floor	KOLOFF, TATLOR & Z	LAFMAN LLF	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			3624		
Los Angeles, C	CA 90025-1026		DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
• •			20
Office Action Commons	09/881,911	TAYLOR ET AL.	<b>*</b>
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alain L. Bashore	3624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address -	· <b>-</b>
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M c, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communica ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15</u> .	<u> June 2001</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			ts is
Disposition of Claims	Ex parts Quayro, 1000	5.5. 11, 100 5.5. 216.	
4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application	۱.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the state of the proposed drawing correction filed on			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		disapproved by the Examiner.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	armior.		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 LLS (	2 & 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in priority under 55 6.6.	y. 3 1 10(a) (a) or (i).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received		
Certified copies of the priority document     Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.	
Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International But application from the Internation from the Inte	rity documents have be reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	en received in this National Stage ).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	· ·		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•		cation).
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>			
Attachment(s)	🗂		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4</li> </ol>	5) - Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>

· -

Art Unit: 3624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 9, 17-32 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9 recites "high profile" which is considered relative. What is high to one may not be high to another.

Claims 17-32 and 34 recite "system" which is vague and indefinite since a system may be one of several different statutory classes of invention (including a method or an apparatus). Applicant must indicate on the record what statutory class of invention the system claims belong to. For the purposes of this examination these claims are considered apparatus.

Art Unit: 3624

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-4, 6-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friedland et al in view of Stewart.

Friedland et al discloses a method, system and medium to facilitate networkbased shopping. Communication between a network-based auction facility and a seller is disclosed, including restrictions (fig 5). Authorized bidders are recorded as authorized (para 0085).

Friedland et al does not explicitly disclose notifying the seller of the authorization.

Stewart discloses notification (para 0010).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include notifying the seller of the authorization to Friedland et al because Stewart teaches that notification enhances the business process (para 0010).

Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friedland et al in view of Stewart as applied to claims 1-4, 6-35 above, and further in view of Kumar et al.

Friedland et al in view of Stewart does not disclose adding and removing an authorization restriction.

Kumar et al discloses adding and removing an authorization restrictions ( para 0044, 0048).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include adding and removing an authorization restrictions to Friedland et al in view of Stewart because Kumar et al teaches that business conditions may change over time (para 0044).

#### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 703-308-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:00 am to 4:30 pm (Monday thru Thursday).

Page 4

Art Unit: 3624

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-1113.

Alain L. Bashore